

North Pacific Fisheries Commission

NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-Final Report

2nd Meeting of the Technical Working Group on Chub Mackerel Stock Assessment

REPORT

28 February-2 March 2019

March 2019

This paper may be cited in the following manner:

2nd Meeting of the Technical Working Group on Chub Mackerel Stock Assessment. 2019. 2nd Meeting Report. NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-Final Report. 27 pp. (Available at <u>www.npfc.int</u>)

 TEL
 +81-3-5479-8717

 FAX
 +81-3-5479-8718

 Email
 secretariat@npfc.int

 Web
 www.npfc.int

North Pacific Fisheries Commission 2nd Meeting of the Technical Working Group on Chub Mackerel Stock Assessment

28 February-2 March 2019 Yokohama, Japan

REPORT

Agenda Item 1. Opening of the Meeting

- The 2nd Meeting of the Technical Working Group on Chub Mackerel Stock Assessment (TWG CMSA) of the North Pacific Fisheries Commission (NPFC) took place in Yokohama, Japan on 28 February-2 March 2019, and was attended by Members from Canada, China, Japan, and the Russian Federation. The European Union attended as an observer.
- 2. The meeting was opened by the TWG CMSA Chair, Dr. Oleg Katugin, who outlined the objectives and procedures for the meeting.
- 3. Japan welcomed the participants to Yokohama, highlighted that chub mackerel is an important species for the NPFC, and expressed the hope that the participants would make good progress towards completing the chub mackerel stock assessment.

Agenda Item 2. Adoption of Agenda

4. The Agenda was adopted without revision (Annex A). The List of Documents and Participants List are attached (Annexes B, C).

Agenda Item 3. Overview of the outcomes of previous NPFC meetings relevant to chub mackerel 3.1 3rd SC meeting and 4th Commission meeting

- 5. The Science Manager explained that the 3rd Scientific Committee (SC) meeting and 4th Commission meeting adopted the recommendations made by the TWG CMSA01.
- 6. The Science Manager provided an overview of CMM 2018-07 for Chub Mackerel.

3.2 Skype meeting of the SWG OM CMSA and intersessional work

7. The Science Manager provided an overview of the outcomes of the skype meeting of the Small Working Group on Operating Model for Chub Mackerel Stock Assessment (SWG OM CMSA).

8. The Science Manager explained that an informal, face-to-face meeting of the SWG OM CMSA was held and that the outcomes of the meeting would be presented under Agenda Item 7.2.

Agenda Item 4. Review of Member's fisheries and research activities

- 9. Russia gave a presentation on its fisheries for chub mackerel in 2018 (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP05). Russia resumed its chub mackerel fisheries in 2015. Total catch by Russian vessels almost doubled from 2017 to 2018. Russia suggested that this is likely due to the increased abundance of chub mackerel. Russia is collecting size distribution data and found that the dominant length is 30-34 cm.
- 10. China presented an update on its fishery and research activities (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-IP04). China resumed its chub mackerel fisheries in 2014. From 2015-2018, fishing effort has been stable, with a decrease after 2016. Based on the observation of increasing CPUE in recent years, China suggested that the chub mackerel stock is gradually recovering. China is collecting size and age distribution data, and has found that the dominant length is 19-32 cm and that the age structure of chub mackerel in the high seas is dominant from 1 year to 3 years.
- 11. Japan presented an update on its fishery and research activities (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-IP05). Japan has been collecting size and age distribution data for its domestic stock assessment since the 1970s, from which it has estimated catch-at-age data. In 2014-2016, fish from the 2013 year-class (a very strong year-class) accounted for most of the catch. In 2017, fish from the 2013 year-class accounted for half of the catch. For abundance indices, Japan is conducting four fisheries-independent surveys (spring/summer/autumn recruitment surveys and year-round egg survey) and one fisheries-dependent survey (dip-net fishery). Based on biological studies, Japan has found that growth of chub mackerel has been reduced since 2014 and that maturity has been delayed since 2013. The reduced growth and delayed maturity rates can be partially explained by a density-dependent effect, but they are likely also affected by other factors.
- Agenda Item 5. Review and evaluation of fishery-dependent and fishery-independent data available for stock assessment
- 5.1 Review of catch data availability and quality
- 5.2 Review of length and age data availability and quality
- 12. The participants reviewed and updated catch data availability, and length and age data availability (Annex D).
- 13. Regarding data quality, the participants agreed to conduct a simple review before starting the

operating model work, and to submit descriptions of their data to and conduct a more thorough review at the next TWG CMSA meeting.

5.3 Data collection templates

14. The participants agreed to defer discussions on the data collection templates until Agenda Item7, as it would be more appropriate to discuss them after deciding on the type of operating modelto be used for the stock assessment.

5.4 Data sharing

15. The participants agreed that discussions on data sharing should be held in conjunction with discussions on the data collection templates, and therefore agreed to defer discussions until Agenda Item 7.

Agenda Item 6. Review and evaluation of fishery-dependent and fishery-independent indices *6.1 Review of the existing CPUE Standardization Protocol*

16. The participants reviewed the CPUE Standardization Protocol and determined that no revisions are currently necessary.

6.2 Quality of the indices

17. Japan presented a standardized abundance index for spawning stock biomass of chub mackerel in the Northwest Pacific based on historical monthly egg survey data using a Vector Autoregressive Spatio-Temporal (VAST) model (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP03 (Rev. 1)). Japan found that the yearly patterns of its nominal CPUE and the standardized CPUE were similar. It also found that, although the effects of sea-surface temperature (SST) were small, the best model includes the effects of SST. Furthermore, Japan found that estimated egg density is always high along Japan's Pacific coast. Japan considered its approach for standardization to be reasonable, and the diagnostics it has run did not show any serious violation of model assumptions. Japan suggested that one reason for the small effect of SST may be a mismatch between the temporal scales of SST in the model and that of the biological phenomenon. Japan will examine this further.

6.3 Recommendations for future work

- 18. The participants agreed to use the abundance indices derived from Japan's summer recruitment survey, autumn recruitment survey, and dip-net fishery as candidate indices.
- 19. Japan explained that the indices from its summer and autumn recruitment surveys are more representative than that from its spring recruitment survey, as the fish in spring are still small and susceptible to instantaneous natural mortality. Japan said that it will explore the possibility

of using the abundance index derived from the spring recruitment survey.

- 20. The participants agreed to use the abundance index derived from Russia's historical chub mackerel fisheries as a candidate index.
- 21. The participants agreed to explore the possibility of using an abundance index derived from Russia's resumed chub mackerel fisheries as a candidate index.
- 22. The participants agreed to explore the possibility of using an abundance index derived from China's chub mackerel fisheries as a candidate index.
- 23. The participants agreed to explore the possibility of using an abundance index derived from Japan's purse seine fishery as a candidate index. The participants noted the importance of this fishery but also recognized the difficulty of deriving a reliable CPUE from it.

Agenda Item 7. Stock assessment of chub mackerel

- 24. Japan presented a range of estimates of natural mortality rate (M) for chub mackerel in the North Pacific Ocean (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP01 (Rev. 2)). Japan suggested that the median M value of 0.41 be used for the reference case in future stock assessments and for operating models, and that 0.3 and 0.5 be used for sensitivity analysis/robustness tests because most estimates fall in values between 0.3 and 0.5.
- 25. The TWG CMSA considered the possibility to use three reference cases for natural mortality for operating models: the median value for M, the mean value for M, and age-specific mortality from the working paper presented by Japan (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP01 (Rev. 2)).
- 26. Japan presented a preliminary analysis of state-space stock assessment model (SAM) for the chub mackerel in the Northwest Pacific as an alternative to virtual population analysis (VPA), Japan's longstanding domestic stock assessment model for Pacific chub mackerel (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP02). Based on the analysis, Japan proposed using SAM as a candidate stock assessment model for chub mackerel, to be tested using the NPFC's operating model.
- 27. The participants agreed to Japan's proposal. Taking into account the decision of the TWG CMSA01 meeting, the participants agreed to test the following five stock assessment models using the operating model: a SAM model, a VPA model, an age-structured assessment program (ASAP) model, a cohort analysis with Kalman filter (KAFKA) model, and a state-space production model.

7.1 Review of the existing Stock Assessment Protocol

28. The participants reviewed the Stock Assessment Protocol and determined that no revisions are currently necessary.

7.2 Progress on the development of operating model

29. SWG OM CMSA presented a draft summary of the outcomes of its informal meeting held on 27 February 2019. The SWG reported that it had reviewed some existing models and tools for data simulation (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-IP01 (Rev. 1), 02 and 03) and discussed the structure of the operating model to be used for testing stock assessment models for chub mackerel.

7.2.1 Protocol of the Operating Model Development

30. The participants reviewed the draft Protocol of the Operating Model Development prepared by the SWG OM CMSA (NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP06) and adopted it (Annex E).

7.2.2 Type(s) of operating model and its performance measures

7.2.3 Framework and structure of operation model

- 31. The participants agreed to use Population Simulator (PopSim) as the platform for the operating model and drafted a flowchart for the development of the operating model. The flowchart is attached to the TWG CMSA Work Plan.
- 32. The participants noted that the basic operating model has no spatial structure and agreed that they will consider spatially-structured models as future work.
- 33. The participants agreed that the operating model has an age-based structure rather than lengthbased structure according to the availability of the existing data, and that the starting year of operating model is 1970 since age-specific data (e.g., catch-at-age) are available from 1970.

7.2.4 Towards development and conditioning of operating model

- 34. The participants discussed and compiled a list of possible and compulsory performance measures for evaluating the candidate stock assessment models (Annex F).
- 35. The participants discussed data required for the operating model. The participants agreed on the list of data to be shared in order to estimate parameters for the operating model using the candidate stock assessment models (Annex G).
- 36. The participants proposed that the TWG CMSA should seek an external expert to support the development of the operating model and invite him/her to attend the next TWG CMSA meeting.

7.3 Recommendations for future work

37. Recommendations for future work are as described in the flowchart for the development of the operating model and the updated TWG CMSA Work Plan (Annex H).

Agenda Item 8. Review of the Terms of Reference and Work Plan of the TWG CMSA

- 38. The participants reviewed the Terms of Reference and determined that no revisions are currently necessary.
- 39. The participants reviewed and updated the Work Plan of the TWG CMSA (Annex H).

Agenda Item 9. Other matters

- 9.1 Observer Program
- 40. The Science Manager provided an overview of the plans to establish an NPFC Observer Program and explained that it could collect scientific data needed for the chub mackerel stock assessment. The participants agreed to discuss which scientific data should be collected by the NPFC Observer Program for chub mackerel at the next TWG CMSA meeting, when data requirements will be clearer.

9.2 Selection of TWG CMSA Chair

41. The participants agreed to extend the term of the current Chair, Dr. Oleg Katugin, for two more years.

9.3 Next TWG CMSA meeting

42. The TWG CMSA proposed that the next TWG CMSA meeting should be held at the end of 2019 or in early 2020, and if necessary SWG OM CMSA will meet informally prior to TWG CMSA03.

9.4 Other matters

43. No other matters were discussed.

Agenda Item 10. Recommendations to the Scientific Committee

- 44. The TWG CMSA recommended the following to the SC:
 - (a) The TWG CMSA agreed to use abundance indices derived from Japan's summer recruitment survey, autumn recruitment survey, and dip-net fishery, as well as Russia's historical chub mackerel fisheries as candidate indices.
 - (b) The TWG CMSA agreed to explore the possibility of using abundance indices derived

from Japan's spring recruitment survey, Russia's resumed chub mackerel fisheries, China's chub mackerel fisheries, and Japan's purse seine fishery as candidate indices.

- (c) The TWG CMSA agreed to further discuss using three reference cases for natural mortality for operating models: the median value for M, the mean value for M, and age-specific mortality from NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP01 (Rev. 2).
- (d) The TWG CMSA agreed to test the following five stock assessment models using the operating model: a SAM model, a VPA model, an ASAP model, a KAFKA model, and a state-space production model.
- (e) The TWG CMSA recommended that the SC endorse the Protocol of the Operating Model Development (Annex E).
- (f) The TWG CMSA agreed to use PopSim as the platform for the operating model.
- (g) The TWG CMSA agreed that the basic operating model has no spatial structure and agreed to consider spatially-structured models as future work.
- (h) The TWG CMSA agreed that the operating model has an age-based structure rather than length-based structure according to the availability of the existing data, and that the starting year of operating model is 1970.
- (i) The TWG CMSA agreed on the list of possible and compulsory performance measures for evaluating the candidate stock assessment models (Annex F).
- (j) The TWG CMSA agreed to share data to estimate parameters for the operating model using the candidate stock assessment models (Annex G).
- (k) The TWG CMSA recommended that the SC endorse the TWG CMSA's proposal of seeking an external expert to support the development of the operating model and inviting him/her to attend the next TWG CMSA meeting.
- (1) The TWG CMSA recommended that the SC endorse the updated TWG CMSA Work Plan (Annex H).
- (m) The TWG CMSA agreed to extend the term of the current Chair, Dr. Oleg Katugin, for two more years.
- (n) The TWG CMSA recommended that the next TWG CMSA meeting should be held at the end of 2019 or in early 2020, and if necessary SWG OM CMSA will meet informally prior to TWG CMSA03.

Agenda Item 11. Adoption of the Report

45. The report was adopted by consensus.

Agenda Item 12. Close of the Meeting46. The meeting closed at 17:22 on 2 March 2019.

Annexes:

Annex A – Agenda

Annex B – List of Documents

Annex C – List of Participants

Annex D – Potentially available data for chub mackerel stock assessment

Annex E – Protocol of the Operating Model Development

Annex F – Performance measures for evaluating stock assessment models

Annex G – Data requirements for candidate stock assessment models and available data to be shared to estimate parameters for the operating model using the stock assessment models

Annex H – TWG CMSA Work Plan, 2017-2021

Annex A

Agenda

Agenda Item 1. Opening of the meeting

Agenda Item 2. Adoption of Agenda

Agenda Item 3. Overview of the outcomes of previous NPFC meetings relevant to chub mackerel 3.1 3rd SC meeting and 4th Commission meeting

3.2 Skype meeting of the SWG OM CMSA and intersessional work

Agenda Item 4. Review of Member's fisheries and research activities

Agenda Item 5. Review and evaluation of fishery-dependent and fishery-independent data available for stock assessment

- 5.1 Review of catch data availability and quality
- 5.2 Review of length and age data availability and quality
- 5.3 Data collection templates
- 5.4 Data sharing

Agenda Item 6. Review and evaluation of fishery-dependent and fishery-independent indices

- 6.1 Review of the existing CPUE Standardization Protocol
- 6.2 Quality of the indices
- 6.3 Recommendations for future work

Agenda Item 7. Stock assessment of chub mackerel

7.1 Review of the existing Stock Assessment Protocol

7.2 Progress on the development of operating model

7.2.1 Protocol of the Operating Model Development

7.2.2 Type(s) of operating model and its performance measures

7.2.3 Framework and structure of operation model

7.2.4 Towards development and conditioning of operating model

7.3 Recommendations for future work

Agenda Item 8. Review of the Terms of Reference and Work Plan of the TWG CMSA

Agenda Item 9. Other matters 9.1 Observer Program 9.2 Selection of TWG CMSA Chair 9.3 Next TWG CMSA meeting 9.4 Other matters

Agenda Item 10. Recommendations to the Scientific Committee

Agenda Item 11. Adoption of Report

Agenda Item 12. Close of the Meeting

List of Documents

MEETING INFORMATION PAPERS

Document number	Title
NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-MIP01 (Rev. 2)	Meeting Notice and Information
NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-MIP02	Provisional Agenda
NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-MIP03	Provisional Annotated Agenda
NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-MIP04 (Rev. 1)	Indicative Schedule

<u>REFERENCE DOCUMENTS</u>

Document number	Title
CMM 2018-07	CMM for Chub Mackerel
NPFC-2018-SC03-Final Report	Report of the 3rd SC meeting
NPFC-2018-COM04-Final Report	Report of the 4th Commission meeting
	Terms of Reference for TWG CMSA
	Stock Assessment Protocol for Chub Mackerel
	Data availability for CMSA
	Interim Guidance for Management of Scientific
	Data
	CPUE Standardization Protocol for Chub Mackerel

WORKING PAPERS

Document number	Title	
NDEC 2010 TWC CMS 4.02 WD01 (B ≈ 2)	A Range of Estimates of Natural Mortality Rate for	
NFTC-2019-1 WO CMSA02-WF01 (Rev. 2)	Chub Mackerel in the North Pacific Ocean	
	Preliminary analysis of state-space stock	
NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP02	assessment model for the chub mackerel in the	
	Northwest Pacific	
NDEC 2010 TWC CMS 4.02 WD02 (\mathbf{P}_{ov} 1)	Standardizing abundance index for spawning stock	
NFFC-2019-1 WG CMSA02-WF05 (Rev. 1)	biomass of chub mackerel in the Northwest Pacific	
NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP04	TWG CMSA Work Plan, 2017-2021	
NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-WP05	Russian fisheries for chub mackerel in 2018	
NIDEC 2010 TWC CMS 402 WD06	Draft Protocol of the Operating Model	
NFFC-2019-1 WG CIVISA02-WP00	Development	

INFORMATION PAPERS

Document number	Title		
	Introduction of the paper "Simulation testing the		
NDEC 2010 TWG CMSA02 $ID01$ (P_{ov} 1)	robustness of stock assessment models to error:		
$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{C}^{-2}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}G$	some results from the ICES strategic initiative on		
	stock assessment methods"		
NPFC-2019-TWG CMSA02-IP02	Population simulator (PopSim)		
NIDEC 2010 TWC CMS A02 ID02	Materials for flowchart of operating model for		
NFFC-2019-1 WG CMISA02-IF03	CMSA		
NDEC 2010 TWG CMS λ 02 ID04	Review of chub mackerel fishery in China and		
NFTC-2019-1 WO CMSA02-IF 04	research activities		
NIDEC 2010 TWG CMS A02 ID05	Review of Member's fisheries and research		
NFFC-2019-1 WG CWISA02-IP03	activities by Japan		

List of Participants

CHAIR

Oleg KATUGIN Pacific Branch of the Federal Scientific Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography okatugin@mail.ru

CANADA

Chris ROOPER Fisheries and Oceans Canada chris.rooper@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

CHINA

Siquan TIAN Shanghai Ocean University sqtian@shou.edu.cn

Bai LI Shanghai Ocean University bai.li@maine.edu

Jie CAO Shanghai Ocean University jcao22@ncsu.edu

Tianfei CHENG East China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences chengtf@ecsf.ac.cn Lianyong FANG China Overseas Fisheries Association admin1@tuna.org.cn

Qiuyun MA Shanghai Ocean University qyma@shou.edu.cn

Luoliang XU Shanghai Ocean University xllxxxlxy@yeah.net

Heng ZHANG East China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences zhangh1@ecsf.ac.cn

JAPAN

Hideki NAKANO National Research Institute of Fisheries Science hnakano@affrc.go.jp +81543366032

Momoko ICHINOKAWA National Research Institute of Fisheries Science ichimomo@fra.affrc.go.jp +81457887645 Chikako WATANABE National Research Institute of Fisheries Science falconer@affrc.go.jp

Taiki FUJI National Research Institute of Fisheries Science tfuji114@affrc.go.jp

Yuki KANAMORI National Research Institute of Fisheries Science kana.yuki@fra.affrc.go.jp

Shin-Ichiro NAKAYAMA National Research Institute of Fisheries Science shin.ichiro.nak@gmail.com

Hiroshi NISHIDA National Research Institute of Fisheries Science hnishi@fra.affrc.go.jp +81457887632

Shota NISHIJIMA National Research Institute of Fisheries Science nishijimash@affrc.go.jp

Hiroshi OKAMURA National Research Institute of Fisheries Science okamura@fra.affrc.go.jp +81457887645 Kazuhiro OSHIMA National Research Institute of Fisheries Science oshimaka@affrc.go.jp +81457887516

Norio TAKAHASHI National Research Institute of Fisheries Science norio@affrc.go.jp

Takaaki UMEDA Fisheries Agency of Japan takaaki_umeda470@maff.go.jp

RUSSIA

Alexander MIKHEYEV Pacific Branch of the Federal Scientific Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography alex_mikheyev@mail.ru +79146414763

Dmitrii ANTONENKO Pacific Branch of the Federal Scientific Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography dmantonenko@yandex.ru +79146978130

OBSERVERS

European Union Karolina MOLLA GAZI Wageningen Marine Research karolina.mollagazi@wur.nl

NPFC SECRETARIAT

Dae-Yeon MOON Executive Secretary dymoon@npfc.int +81354798717

Aleksandr ZAVOLOKIN Science Manager azavolokin@npfc.int +81354798717 Mervin OGAWA Data Coordinator mogawa@npfc.int +81354798717 Aleksandra TEMNYKH Consultant-Science aleksandra@npfc.int +81354798717

Alexander MEYER Rapporteur meyer@urbanconnections.jp +81364325691

Annex D

Potentially available data for chub mackerel stock assessment

(developed by TWG CMSA in Dec 2017, adopted by SC03 in Apr 2018, updated in Mar 2019)

The members of the Technical Working Group on Chub Mackerel Stock Assessment (TWG CMSA) developed and endorsed a template for the potentially available data for stock assessment of chub mackerel at the TWG CMSA meeting in December 2017 (Annex E, TWG CMSA01 Report). The table below lists available data by Japan, Russia and China.

Category and data sources	Description	Years with available data	Average sample size/year or data coverage	Potential issues to be reviewed
		JAPAN		
Catch statistics				
Purse seine fishery	Official statistics,	Official	Coverage=100%	The chub mackerel
Din net fishery	reports from fisheries	statistics:		catches are
	associations and markets	1950-2017,		estimated from chub
Set net		other reports:		and spotted
		1970-2018		mackerel catches
				based on port
				sampling data for
				purse seine and set
				net fisheries
Size composition d	ata			
Length	Port sampling by 17	1970-2018	20,000-120,000	Data coverage
measurements	local fishery institutes in		(average 40,000)	review
	17 prefectures		fish/year (ca.	
			100	
			measurements	
			per sampling)	
Aging	Port sampling by 17	1970-2018	500-1000	Data coverage
	local fishery institutes in		fish/year	review
	17 prefectures			
Catch at age	Estimate CAA from the	1970-2018	Age-length keys	Evaluate
(CAA)	above data		are created	uncertainty of catch
			approximately	at age, especially on

			by quarter and	changes of growth	
			local regions	depending on	
			ioeur regions	recruitment	
				abundance	
Abundance indices	(survev)			abundance	
Spring survey for	Mainly for sardine and	1995-2018	30-60	Review survey	
recruitment	chub mackerel, mid-		stations/vear	protocol and	
	water trawl			conduct	
Summer survey	Mainly for saury, mid-	2001-2018	60-80	standardization	
for recruitment	water trawl		stations/year		
Autumn survey	Mainly for sardine and	1995-2018	30-60		
for recruitment	chub mackerel, mid-		stations/year		
	water trawl				
Year-round for	Almost all local fishery	1978-2018	ca. 6000 stations		
egg density	institutes join this survey	(2005-,	in total, 1000-		
	program. NORPAC net.	species	4000 stations		
	Not only for chub	identification	with chub		
	mackerel.	between chub	mackerel		
		and spotted	eggs/year		
		mackerel)			
Abundance indices	(commercial)				
Dip net fishery	Log book data are	2003-2018	10-100/year	Standardization,	
	collected from fishermen			recently fishing	
	in Kanagawa prefecture			efforts between	
	since 2003 and Shizuoka			Kanagawa and	
	prefecture since 2013			Shizuoka have	
	(ca. 10 and 90% of total			changed,	
	dip net catch in 2017,			reliability??	
	respectively)				
		RUSSIA			
Catch statistics		ſ	1		
	Official statistics,			Data coverage	
Purse seine fishery	reports from fisheries	Otticial	Coverage	details to be	
	associations	statistics:	1980-2003 ?%;	reviewed	
		1			

		1000 2002	C		
		1980-2003,	Coverage		
		2004-2018,	2004-2018		
Pelagic trawl		1994-2011 (no	=100%		
fishery		data available);			
		publications:			
		1970-2018			
Size composition d	ata				
	Sampling from				
	commercial fishing	2016-2018	1,000-10,000	Data anuara an	
Length	vessels.		fish/year (ca. 100	Data coverage	
measurements	Sampling during		measurements	details to be	
	research surveys.	2010-2018	per sampling)	reviewed	
Aging	Sampling during	2016-2018	300-500	Details to be	
	research surveys and		fish/year	reviewed	
	from commercial fishing				
	vessels				
Catch at age	Estimate CAA from the	2016-2018	Age-length keys	Evaluate	
(CAA)	above data		are to be	uncertainty of catch	
			developed	at age, especially on	
			-	changes of growth	
				depending on	
				recruitment	
				abundance	
Abundance indices	(survey)	I	l		
Summer trawl and	Mid-water upper	2010-2018	60-80		
acoustic	eninelagic surveys	(June-July)	stations/vear	Changes in	
(echointegration)	opipolugio sui vojs	(suite sury)	stations, your	abundance and	
surveys to assess		2015-2018	60-80	migration patterns;	
palagic fish		(Inly Angust)	stations/voor	development survey	
abundance and		(July-August)	stations/year	protocol and	
abundance and				conduct	
recruitment				standardization	
		CHINA			
Catch statistics					

				The chub mackerel		
	Official statistics,	Official		catches are from the		
Purse seine fishery	reports from annual	statistics:	Coverage=100%	fishing catch		
	report	2014-2018		provided by the		
				fishery company		
Trawl fishery	Official statistics, reports from annual report	Official statistics: 2014-2017	Coverage=100%	Catches are from the fishing catch provided by the fishery company		
Size composition data						
Length	Port sampling by	2016-2018	550-800	Details to be		
measurements	Institute and technology		fish/year	reviewed		
	group.					
Length	Purse seine vessel		530-1050	Details to be		
measurements	sampling from	2016-2017	fish/year	reviewed		
	commercial vessel					
Aging	Sampling during	2017-2018	30-180 fish/year	Details to be		
	research surveys and			reviewed		
	from commercial fishing					
	vessels					
Abundance indices	(commercial)	1	I	Γ		
Purse seine fisherv	Purse seine logbook	2014-2017	10-60/vear	Will conduct		
r arse serie rishery	I dise seme logoook	2017 2017	10 00/ your	standardization		

Protocol of the Operating Model Development

This Protocol of the Operating Model Development was drafted by the Small Working Group on Operating Model for Chub Mackerel Stock Assessment (SWG OM CMSA) and adopted at the 2nd meeting of the TWG CMSA in March 2019.

- 1. Review the existing literatures to examine what types of operating models have been used over the world for simulation data, used in stock assessment models and for comparing performance of different stock assessment models.
- 2. Review the existing operating models that have been developed for mackerel in the other Regional Fisheries Management Organizations.
- 3. Review the stock information of chub mackerel in the North Pacific Ocean, including life history traits (e.g. reproduction biology), stock distribution, stock structure, main management units, and environment in relation to the species and the fishery.
- 4. Determine the temporal and spatial scale of the operating model.
- 5. Determine the basic structure of operating model (deterministic processes without stochasticity).
 - a. Biological processes such as recruitment, growth, maturation, and natural mortality.
 - b. Fishing processes such as fishing effort, catchability, selectivity, and fishing mortality.
 - c. Observation processes generating observation data, such as total catch, catch-, weight-, and maturity-at age, and abundance indices (CPUE), for the use of each stock assessment model.
- 6. Specify the structure of stochastic uncertainty on the above processes, parameters and assumptions for the operating model.
- 7. Measure the performance of simulated data from the operating model.
- 8. Determine the methods and data used for comparison, selection and processing of results of candidate stock assessment models.
- 9. Summarize the operating model with a flowchart.

Performance measures for evaluating stock assessment models

Measure	Necessity		S	tatistic	S	
State Variables						
B (whole years)	compulsory	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
SSB (whole years)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
R (whole years)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
F (whole years)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
Selectivity at age (whole years)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
Basic Biological Parameters						
B0	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
steepness	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
Biological Reference Points						
Bmsy (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
SBmsy (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
Fmsy (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
Depletion Statistics						
SSB/max(SSB) (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
SSB/SSB0 (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
SSB/SSBmsy (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
				~ -		
B/max(B) (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
B/B0 (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
B/Bmsy (periods?)	if possible	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
	·c ·11	1'		CE.	0/1 *	DMCE
F/Fmsy (periods?)	11 possible	median	mean	SE	%b1as	RMSE
Exploitation rate						
(catch/total biomass)	compulsory	median	mean	SE	%bias	RMSE
	Joinpulsory		moun		,	
Retrospective analysis	if possible					

	Data requirements			Data	Data available for sharing			
	VPA	SAM	KAFKA	ASAP	Production model	Japan	China	Russia
Catch Statistics								
Total catch	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Catch-at-age	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Discard-at-age (if discard occurs for chub mackerel)				lf possible		No	No	No
Selectivity by fleet				If possible				
Biological Parameters	37	X 7	X 7	37		X 7	X 7	X 7
Weight-at-age	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Maturity-at-age	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Natural mortality-at- age	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes
Abundance Index								
Recruitment index (survey)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	No
SSB index (including egg survey)	Yes	Yes	Yes	If possible	Yes	Yes	No	No
CPUE index-at-age				Yes		No	No	No
CPUE index				Var	Vac	2	Vac	Vac
(commercial)				res	res	:	res	res
Catchability						No		
Observations								
Fishing								

Data requirements for candidate stock assessment models and available data to be shared to estimate parameters for the operating model using the stock assessment models

CPUE (all periods, fleets, gears if possible)	Yes	If possible	No	Yes	Yes
Survey					
Stock estimates from survey	Yes	If possible	No	No	Yes?

TWG CMSA Work Plan, 2017-2021

CHUB MACKEREL

Year	Tasks	Progress/Comment	Meeting/Activity
2017	Review of Members' national research on stock status and fisheries	Done	Chub mackerel workshop, 16-17 Feb
	Establishment of TWG CMSA	Done/Adopted by the Commission	SC02 meeting (proposal), COM03 meeting
	Development of TORs, Work Plan and Data List	TORs are done. Work Plan and Data List are reviewed on the annual basis.	Proposal at the 3 rd Commission meeting; Intersessional work on the TORs; TWG CMSA meeting, 4-5 Dec
2018	Report outputs by TWG CMSA01		SC03 meeting, COM04 meeting;
	Discussion of the framework for the operating model (OM), list of data required for stock assessment (SA)	Protocol of Operating Model Development has been drafted. Some existing models and tools for data simulation have been reviewed.	Intersessional work and informal meeting of SWG OM on 27 Feb 2019
2019	Present results of the intersessional work on the OM and organize the OM structure/ proposal of SA model candidates/ agreement on the platform of OM (PopSim)	Done.	TWG CMSA02, Mar 2019.
	Present outputs by TWG to SC		SC04 meeting, COM05 meeting;
	Data preparation and data sharing for OM; development and conditioning of OM		Intersessional
	Describe and review all data for OM/ Show the results of conditioning OM / Setting OM scenarios		TWG CMSA03

2020	Generate pseudo data to be fitted to the stock assessment models	Intersessional
	Present outputs by TWG to SC	SC05 meeting, COM06 meeting;
	Compare stock assessment model candidates and choose the best SA model(s) / finalize the data used for the stock assessment /do preliminary assessment and recommendations to the SC	TWG CMSA04
2021	Present outputs by TWG to SC and provide preliminary recommendations	SC06 meeting, COM07 meeting;
	Complete stock assessment with the selected SA model(s) and provide recommendations to SC	TWG CMSA05

Detailed work plan for the operating model development [to be replaced by the flowchart for OM development]

2018

- 1. Identification of all available data
- 2. Specification of objectives and determination of performance measures
- 3. Discussion of the framework for the operating model (OM)
 - a. Draft a protocol for the OM development
 - b. Specification of model structure
 - i. Important biological processes to be incorporated into the OM
 - ii. Specification of uncertainties to be incorporated in the OM
 - iii. Population dynamics model/data-generating model
 - iv. Determine the population and fishing constant (mortality, fertility, growth, maturation, catchability)
 - v. The method for conditioning the data (what parameters are estimated or not?; what data are used for conditioning?)
 - vi. Develop a flowchart for OM
- 4. Present the progress and organize the structure of the OMs [TWG CMSA02]
- 5. Identification and collection of required data [TWG CMSA02]

2019

- 6. Conditioning the OMs on data [intersessional]
- 7. Presents and compares the results of conditioning [TWG CMSA03]
- 8. Setting of scenarios of OMs (reference case(s) and sensitivity case(s)) [TWG CMSA03]

2020-2021

- 9. Generate the pseudo data to be fitted to the stock assessment models
- 10. Compare stock assessment model candidates according to the pre-determined performance measures and choose the best SA model from the candidates [TWGCMSA04]
- 11. Evaluate the quality of data and finalize the data used for the stock assessment [TWG CMSA04]
- 12. Complete stock assessment with the selected SA model(s) and management advice [TGWCMSA05]

Flowchart for the development of the operating model



* By an external expert