Process for Analyzing Trade-offs between Fishing and Vulnerable Marine Ecosystem Protection

Devon R Warawa, Janelle MR Curtis, Chris N Rooper,

Lindsay Gardner, and Jackson WF Chu

(devon.Warawa@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)





16-18 November 2020





Proposed process for trade-off analysis

Systematic conservation planning principles

Modeled after the SPRFMO approach (see Rowden and Cryer 2018)

9 steps with continuous stakeholder engagement and periodic review

Iterative process



Figure 2 in NPFC-2020-SSC BFME01-WP13

Preliminary Study: Northeast Pacific Ocean



Preliminary Study: Northeast Pacific Ocean



Preliminary Study: Northeast Pacific Ocean



Preliminary data and code is available to members at <u>https://collaboration.npfc.int/node/86</u> or upon request

Step 1: Identifying and involving stakeholders

"Individuals, groups or organizations who are, in one way or another, interested, involved or affected (positively or negatively) by a particular project or action toward resource use."

(Pomeroy and Rivera-Guieb 2006)

Canadian Sablefish fishery

First Nations

Environmental non-government organizations (eNGO)



Step 1: Identifying and involving stakeholders

"Individuals, groups or organizations who are, in one way or another, interested, involved or affected (positively or negatively) by a particular project or action toward resource use."

(Pomeroy and Rivera-Guieb 2006)

Canadian Sablefish fishery

First Nations

Environmental non-government organizations (eNGO)



Step 2: Identifying goals and objectives

Comprehensive planning approach supports diverse goals including ecological conservation and social-economic objectives

Northeast Pacific preliminary study objective:

Identify areas in the study area where VMEs can be protected from significant adverse impacts (SAIs) while minimizing the economic impacts to the Sablefish fishery and other stakeholders





Step 3: Defining species or habitats for conservation and collecting relevant spatial data





Res Pap. 151(March):103063.

Step 4: Setting conservation targets and design principles

Conservation targets are based on SDM uncertainty, where HSI values with high certainty have higher conservation targets

Standard deviation	Conservation target
uncertainty category	example*
High certainty HSI	95%
Medium certainty HSI	50%
Low certainty HSI	10%

* More consideration is needed to define appropriate target levels and should include stakeholders and managers

Nine conservation features are used:

Black coral – high certaintySoft coral – high certaintyBlack coral – medium certaintySoft coral – medium certaintyBlack coral – low certaintySoft coral – low certainty



Step 5: Identifying cost metrics and gathering relevant spatial data

Sablefish landings values from Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) database

Landings focused on four seamounts in the south eastern corner of the study area



Step 6: Dividing the planning region into a grid of planning units (PUs) and calculating conservation and cost values

Square PU grid with a size of 9 km² and total of 72,119 PUs



Conservation feature
value per PUMean HSICost value
per PUSum of landings in kg
from the years 2006-2019



Step 7: Selecting a decision support tool



Prioritizr

A newer tool available as an R package used for solving conservation planning problems

- Superior reproducibility and transparency
- Integer linear programming algorithm
- Faster processing time
- Free to use
- Excellent documentation and support

Other widely used tools include:

Marxan Zonation

The decision support tool should be chosen based on the project objectives and additional functionality and strengths of the different tools







https://prioritizr.net/index.html

Step 9: Completing sensitivity analysis

Ensure the analysis represents the data and addresses the project goals to the best of its ability

Parameters tested include:

- Sablefish landings values input (mean vs total landings)
- Historical fishing timeframe used long term (2006-2019) versus short term (2014-2019)
- Varying conservation targets (10-95%)
- Penalty factors that control how spatial fragmentation of protected areas



Periodic Review

To ensure the protection measures remain effective in practice after implementation, review and update the analysis in the case of:

- New data available
- Changes in the environment or species distribution
- Objectives evolve



Recommendations

- 1. NPFC SSC BFME endorses this process for completing trade-off analysis between fishing and Vulnerable Marine Ecosystem protection
- 2. Canada moves forward with completing a trade-off analysis in the northeast Pacific using this proposed method







Thank you! Questions, comments, or feedback?











References

Chu JWF, Nephin J, Georgian S, Knudby A, Rooper C, Gale KSP. 2019. Modelling the environmental niche space and distributions of cold-water corals and sponges in the Canadian northeast Pacific Ocean. Deep Res Part I Oceanogr Res Pap. 151(March):103063.

Pomeroy R, Douvere F. 2008. The engagement of stakeholders in the marine spatial planning process. Mar Policy. 32(5):816–822. doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2008.03.017.

Rowden AA, Cryer M. 2018. Spatial management strategies: The SPRFMO (New Zealand) experience. Protection of VMEs in the North Pacific Fisheries Commission NPFC/FAO Workshop. March 12-15, Yokohama, Japan. (NPFC-2018-WS VME01-WP16).