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## 1. Introduction

The saury in the inshore waters has been well studied; however, the biological information of saury in the offshore waters is limited(Huang, Huang, 2015). Catch-at-age and catch-at-size models have commonly used in stock assessment(Truesdell, et al, 2017). In this report, we try to estimate the catch at size, based on China's PS fishery-dependent sampling data.

## 2. Sampling and Pre-processing

5-10 Kilograms of the saury specimens were randomly collected daily in the catches by 1-2 stick-held dip net fishing vessels every year since 2014. The specimens with temporal-spatial information were frozen and stored in the fishing vessel. Then they were all transported to Shanghai Ocean University after the fishing vessel back to the port.

The biological information/data, including body weight (BW, unit: g) and knob length (KnL: distance from the tip of the lower jaw to the posterior end of the muscular knob on the caudal peduncle; Kimura, 1956, unit: mm), was measured in the laboratory.

Catch amount were derived from logbooks of all fishing vessels.

## 3. CAS estimation

CAS of Pacific saury were estimated in three steps.

1) Body weight fitting

Body weight was fitted by Length-weight relationships(Froese, 1998) based on all specimens to reduce the bias of body weight composition in 1 cm KnL classes, the formula is:

$$
\widehat{B W}=a \times K n L^{b}
$$

## 2) Data aggregation

Catch amount and fitted body weight composition in 1 cm KnL classes were calculate in each month and grid area $\left(1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}\right)$. used to estimate the catch at size.
3) CAS estimation in each month and grid area

The formula is:

$$
N_{i, j}=\frac{T C_{j} \times P_{i, j}}{\overline{B W_{l}}}
$$

where $i$ is the $i$ th class interval of KnL; $j$ is the $j$ th month and grid area; N is the number of individuals; $P$ is the proportion of fitted body weight; $T C$ is the catch amount.

## 3. Results

The length-weight relationship was shown in Fig.1.

## The mean of sample bodyweight (red line with small triangles)

fluctuated compared with fitted body weight (blue line with small circles) in each class interval, using the data from $161.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 41.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ in Jun. 2014 as an example (Fig.2).

The catch-at-size in each month and grid area from Jun. to Jul. 2014 were estimated as an example.
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Fig. 1 Length-weight relationships of all samples from 2014 to 2018


Fig. 2 Fitted body weight frequency using the data from $161.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 41.5^{\circ}$ E in Jun. 2014

