



North Pacific Fisheries Commission

NPFC-2026-COM10-OP03

Submitted by the Deep Sea Conservation Coalition

**Recommendations to the 10th Meeting of the North Pacific Fisheries Commission on
Updating and Reviewing Bottom Fisheries Impact Assessments**

Abstract: The submission from the Deep Sea Conservation Coalition (DSCC) contains recommendations to the Commission for strengthening the implementation of the existing criteria and obligations in CMM 2025-05 for conducting bottom fisheries impact assessments and on fully incorporating commitments made by Members through the adoption of UN General Assembly resolutions since 2008 as reflected in the preambular language of CMM 2025-05.

These recommendations would serve to bring the bottom fisheries impact assessments due in time for review by the meetings of the SSC BF-ME and the Scientific Committee in 2026 into line with CMM 2025-05, UNGA resolutions, the NPFC Convention text, the UN Fish Stocks Agreement and other relevant commitments and international instruments.



Recommendations to Align NPFC Updated Bottom Fisheries Impact Assessments with Existing Commitments and Obligations

Submission to the 10th meeting of the North Pacific Fisheries Commission 14-17 April 2026

Background

States individually and as members of Regional Fisheries Management Organizations (RFMOs) have committed to conducting impact assessments to evaluate and demonstrate that bottom fisheries can be managed to prevent significant adverse impacts on Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (VMEs) and associated deep-sea biodiversity, and to ensure the long-term sustainability of deep-sea fish stocks. These commitments are contained in a series of UN General Assembly (UNGA) resolutions and in the criteria established in the FAO International Guidelines for the Management of Deep-sea Fisheries in the High Seas (FAO Guidelines). The impact assessment provisions of the Guidelines were adopted by NPFC Members as ‘interim measures’ in 2008 and incorporated into the bottom fisheries CMMs subsequent to the entry into force of the NPFC Convention. They serve as a blueprint for the implementation of the general obligations in Article 5 of the UN Fish Stocks Agreement (UNFSA) and Article 3 of the NPFC Convention text in respect of the management of deep-sea bottom fisheries.

The preambular language of CMM 2025-05 was amended in 2024 to update the CMM to identify the additional commitments adopted by the UNGA since 2008, based on the reviews by the UNGA in 2009, 2011, 2016 and 2022 of the implementation of the bottom fisheries provisions of previous resolutions. The resolutions adopted as a result of these reviews – UNGA resolutions 64/72 (2009), 66/68 (2011), 71/123 (2016) and 77/118 (2022) - call for additional actions, building upon the commitments made in previous resolutions. Summaries of the additional commitments in these resolutions relevant to conducting impact assessments are provided below.

Criteria for conducting impact assessments

The standards and criteria for conducting impact assessments of bottom fishing, and the identification of VMEs and assessment of significant adverse impacts on VMEs and marine species, are contained in Annex 2 of both CMM 2025-05 and CMM 2025-06. Annex 2 incorporates the criteria in paragraph 47 of the FAO Guidelines for conducting impact assessments and, as stated in its introduction: “...*these science-based standards and criteria are to be applied to identify vulnerable marine ecosystems (VMEs) and assess significant adverse impacts (SAIs) of bottom fishing activities on such VMEs or marine species and to promote the long-term sustainability of deep sea fisheries in the Convention Area*”.

Amongst the major gaps in the conduct of impact assessments to date are the failure to fully implement the criteria in steps (b) and (c) in Annex 2.5(5) to collect enough “baseline information on the ecosystems, habitats and communities in the fishing area, against which future changes are to be compared” and comprehensive “identification, description and mapping of VMEs known or likely to occur in the fishing area”. Without fully completing these two steps in the impact assessment process, it is impossible to effectively complete the

remaining steps (d) - (g) in the process to demonstrate that bottom fishing can be managed to prevent SAIs on VMEs.

DSCC recommendations on the conduct of bottom fisheries impact assessments to the SSC BF-ME

The Small VME Working Group, which met in 2025, developed a Template for conducting impact assessments. The DSCC submitted recommendations to the meeting of the 6th SSC BF-ME in December 2025 to amend the Template to address key gaps. The DSCC urged the SSC BF-ME to ensure full implementation of the impact assessment procedures in CMM 2025-05 and 2025-06. The DSCC also recommended additional criteria be added to the Template to incorporate further commitments made by NPFC Members through the adoption of UNGA resolutions in 2009, 2011, 2016 and 2022 outlined in the preambular paragraphs of CMM 2025-05.

However, the SSC BF-ME did not agree to adopt the DSCC recommendations, in large part because one Member had already submitted an updated impact assessment in November 2025 to the meeting of the SSC BF-ME (a year prior to the deadline) based on the Template developed by the Small Working Group on VMEs. Rather the SSC BF-ME agreed that the Small Working Group could consider the DSCC recommendations the next time NPFC Members agree to review and update their bottom fisheries impact assessments. If and when this will happen remains to be seen.

Recommendations to the 10th Meeting of the North Pacific Fisheries Commission

It is therefore recommended that the Commission:

- Mandate the SSC BF-ME to review and amend the NPFC bottom fisheries impact assessment Template or otherwise require Member to better incorporate international commitments, the NPFC Convention and other, related instruments as contained in the seven recommendations below;
- Instruct SSC BF-ME and the 11th Scientific Committee meeting in December 2026 to review impact assessments on the basis of consistency with the seven recommendations below;
- Suspend the North Pacific Armorhead and Splendid Alfonsino fisheries until impact assessments consistent with UNGA resolutions and relevant provisions of international law have been conducted and confirmed that any continued bottom fishing on the Emperor Seamount Chain will not cause significant adverse impacts to VMEs and other marine species.

The updated bottom fisheries impact assessments by Members and basis for reviewing the efficacy of impact assessments by the SSC BF-ME and Scientific Committee in 2026 should require the following:

1. *Comprehensive mapping, predictive/habitat-suitability modeling, and / or species-distribution modeling in areas where bottom fishing is permitted, to identify areas where VMEs, including their associated and dependent species, and other marine species and deep-sea biodiversity are known or likely to occur*

Basis for recommendation: FAO Guidelines paragraph 47(iii); Annex 2.4 *Identification of potential VMEs* paragraph 1(b) *Assessment on whether a specific seamount that has been fished is a VME*; Annex 2.5(5) *Assessment of SAIs on VMEs or marine species* of CMM 2025-05 and CMM 2025-06; the addition of “including their associated and dependent species” from UNGA resolution 77/118, paragraphs 211, 212, 213(a) and 2023(c) (the latter explicitly endorsed by the May 2023 UNFSA resumed Review Conference in section A.13 of the outcome document (copied below)).

2. *Comprehensive baseline information collected on the ecosystems, habitats and communities in the fishing area, the spatial distribution and connectivity of VMEs, including their associated or dependent species, habitats and ecosystems, in order to assess potential and actual impacts of bottom fisheries.*

Basis for recommendation: FAO Guidelines paragraph 47(ii); Annex 2.5(5) *Assessment of SAIs on VMEs or marine species* of CMM 2025-05 and CMM 2025-06, the addition of connectivity from UNGA resolution 77/118, paragraphs 212 and 216, and the addition of “the spatial distribution and connectivity of VMEs, including their associated and dependent species” from UNGA resolution 77/118, paragraphs 211, 212, 213(a) and 213(c) (the latter explicitly endorsed by the May 2023 UNFSA resumed Review Conference in section A.13 of the outcome document (copied below))

3. *Full application of criteria in Annex 2.3 Definition of VMEs of CMM 2025-05 and CMM 2025-06, including, for example, areas or habitats that harbor rare species; and discrete areas or habitats that are necessary for the survival, function, spawning/reproduction or recovery of fish stocks, particular life history stages (e.g. nursery grounds or rearing areas), or of rare, threatened or endangered marine species.*

Basis for recommendation: Annex 2.3 *Definition of VMEs* of CMM 2025-05 and CMM 2025-06, UN FAO Guidelines paragraph 42, UNGA resolution 77/118, paragraph 213(b).

4. *Assessing the impact of bottom fishing on VMEs, including their associated or dependent species, on species belonging to the same ecosystem or dependent upon or associated with the target stocks, and low productivity fish species, other marine species and biodiversity beyond VMEs, including the cumulative historical impact of bottom fishing.*

Basis for recommendation: FAO Guidelines paragraph 47; Annex 2.5(5) of CMM 2025-05 and CMM 2025-06; the addition of “including their associated and dependent species” from UNGA resolution 77/118, paragraphs 211, 212, 213(a) and 213(c); the addition of “on species belonging to the same ecosystem or dependent upon or associated with the target stocks” from Article 3(d) of the NPFC Convention text and Article 5(d) from the UNFSA; and the addition of “biodiversity beyond VMEs” from UNGA resolution 77/118 paragraph 211.

5. *An assessment of the risk that continued bottom fishing poses to the status or recovery VMEs, including their associated or dependent species, and other species in the marine environment.*

Basis for recommendation: FAO Guidelines paragraph 47; Annex 2.5(5) of CMM 2025-05 and CMM 2025-06; UNCLOS Article 119.1(b), UNFSA article 5(e), NPFC Convention article 3(d) which oblige Members to “adopt, where necessary, conservation and management measures for species belonging to the same ecosystem or associated with or dependent upon the target stocks, with a view to maintaining or restoring populations of such species above levels at which their reproduction may become

seriously threatened". This recommendation also reflects a key element of Article 7 of the BBNJ Agreement "General principles and approaches" which states: "*In order to achieve the objectives of this Agreement, Parties shall be guided by the following principles and approaches: (h) An approach that builds ecosystem resilience, including to adverse effects of climate change and ocean acidification, and also maintains and restores ecosystem integrity, including the carbon cycling services that underpin the role of the ocean in climate;*"

6. *Identify areas where deep-water species and vulnerable marine ecosystems are likely to better survive the impacts of climate change and ocean acidification – e.g. refugia, and the types of measures needed to support the resilience of these species and ecosystems.*

Basis for recommendation: UNGA resolution 77/118 paragraph 218; NPFC Resolution on Climate Change. Likewise this recommendation also reflects a key element of Article 7 of the BBNJ Agreement "General principles and approaches" which states: "*In order to achieve the objectives of this Agreement, Parties shall be guided by the following principles and approaches: (h) An approach that builds ecosystem resilience, including to adverse effects of climate change and ocean acidification, and also maintains and restores ecosystem integrity, including the carbon cycling services that underpin the role of the ocean in climate;*"

7. *Apply the precautionary approach and reverse burden of proof in assessing the risk of SAIs and not authorize bottom fisheries to proceed where the impact assessment cannot clearly demonstrate that bottom fisheries can be managed to prevent SAIs on VMEs, their associated and dependent species and other marine life.*

Basis for recommendation: FAO Guidelines paragraph 47; Annex 2.5(5) of CMM 2025-05 and CMM 2025-06; the addition of "*including their associated and dependent species*" from UNGA resolution 77/118, paragraphs 211, 212, 213(a) and 213(c); the addition of "*on species belonging to the same ecosystem or dependent upon or associated with the target stocks*" from Article 3(d) of the NPFC Convention text and Article 5(d) from the UNFSA; the addition of "*biodiversity beyond VMEs*" from UNGA resolution 77/118 paragraph 211; and the language on the application of the precautionary approach from NPFC Convention article 3(c) and UNFSA article 6.1 and 6.2. UNGA resolution 64/72 "*Calls upon flag States, members of regional fisheries management organizations ... not to authorize bottom fishing activities until such measures have been adopted and implemented*". Members should be more cautious when information is uncertain, unreliable or inadequate and not use the absence of adequate scientific information as a reason for postponing or failing to take conservation and management measures.

ANNEX

Context and Legal Basis for the inclusion of the above additions and amendments in the Template for NPFC Bottom Fisheries Impact Assessments

1. Preambular language of CMM 2025-05

The preambular language of CMM 2025-05: Conservation and management measure for bottom fisheries and protection of vulnerable marine ecosystems in the northwestern Pacific Ocean states as follows:

“Recalling the United Nations General Assembly Resolutions (UNGA) on Sustainable Fisheries, particularly paragraphs 66 to 71 of the UNGA59/25 in 2004, paragraphs 69 to 74 of UNGA60/31 in 2005, and paragraphs 69 and 80 to 91 of UNGA 61/105 in 2006; paragraphs 113, 117 and 119 to 124 of resolution 64/72 in 2009, paragraphs 121, 126, 129, 130 and 132 to 134 of resolution 66/68 in 2011, paragraphs 156, 171, 175, 177 to 188 and 219 of resolution 71/123 in 2016 and paragraphs 181 and 203-219 of resolution 77/118 in 2022;”

The Preambular language of CMM 2025-05 further states

*“Recognizing UNGA’s calls to identify and overcome barriers to the implementation of the relevant paragraphs of General Assembly resolutions such as data availability, especially with regard to baseline data and the spatial distribution and connectivity of vulnerable marine ecosystems, including their associated and dependent species; periodically review and revise impact assessments whenever a substantial change in the fishery has occurred or there is relevant new information; and ensure that the precautionary approach is applied, including in the utilization of **impact assessments to inform management decisions and consideration of significant adverse impacts on vulnerable marine ecosystems, including their associated and dependent species;**”*

“Recognizing that scientific literature indicates the likely occurrence of VMEs on most seamounts in the area and has documented significant adverse impacts to VMEs resulting from bottom fishing in the area, which reinforces the importance of regularly updating impact assessments and considering the adequacy of the existing management framework through the SC and the Commission;”

2. United Nations General Assembly Resolutions

Amongst the paragraphs of the UNGA resolutions cited in the preambular language above relevant to conducting IAs are:

UNGA resolution 64/72 (2009)

- conduct impact assessments consistent with the FAO Guidelines, and to ensure that vessels do not engage in bottom fishing until such assessments have been carried out;
- adopt and implement measures in accordance with paragraph 119 of resolution 64/72 and international law, consistent with the FAO Guidelines, and not to authorize bottom fishing activities until such measures have been adopted and implemented.

UNGA resolution 66/68 (2011)

Paragraph 129 of UNGA resolution 66/68 (2011) which calls on States and RFMOs to, *inter*

alia:

- Strengthen procedures for carrying out impact assessments to take into account individual, collective and cumulative impacts;
- Establish and improve procedures to ensure that impacts assessments are updated when new conditions or information so require;
- Establish and improve procedures for evaluating, reviewing and revising, on a regular basis, impact assessments based on best available science.

UNGA resolution 71/123 (2016)

Paragraphs 180-185 of UNGA resolution 71/123 (2016) call on States and RFMOs to, *inter alia:*

- Recognize the value of seabed mapping, benthic ecosystem modelling, comparative benthic studies, and predictive modelling amongst other tools to identify areas where VMEs are known or likely to occur;
- Ensure that impact assessments, including for cumulative impacts of activities covered by the assessment, are conducted consistently with the Guidelines, particularly paragraph 47 thereof, are reviewed periodically and are revised thereafter whenever a substantial change in the fishery has occurred or there is relevant new information;
- Emphasize the need to effectively implement paragraphs 42, 47 and 17-20 of the FAO Guidelines related to identifying VMEs, conducting impact assessments of bottom fisheries and assessing for significant adverse impacts;
- Take into account the potential impacts of climate change and ocean acidification in taking measures to manage deep sea fisheries and protect vulnerable marine ecosystems.

UNGA resolution 77/118 (2022)

Key paragraphs 211–219 verbatim which incorporate and build on the actions called for in resolutions 64/72, 66/68 and 71/123:

"211. Recognizes the need for further progress with regard to obtaining more biological information on the species that comprise vulnerable marine ecosystems, including their associated and dependent species, the assessment of significant adverse impacts on vulnerable marine ecosystems, and protecting and conserving biodiversity, including beyond vulnerable marine ecosystems, as well as the consistent application of the [FAO] Guidelines;

"212. Calls upon, in this regard, States, regional fisheries management organizations and arrangements and those States participating in negotiations to establish a regional fisheries management organization or arrangement competent to regulate bottom fisheries, to identify and overcome barriers to the implementation of the relevant paragraphs of General Assembly resolutions 64/72, 66/68 and 71/123 such as data availability, especially with regard to baseline data and the spatial distribution and connectivity of vulnerable marine ecosystems, including their associated and dependent species, while recognizing the importance of international collaboration for this purpose, further recognizing that effective management of bottom fisheries is crucial to ensure the long-term sustainability of the sector;

“213 (a) To use, as applicable, the full set of criteria in the [FAO] Guidelines to identify where vulnerable marine ecosystems occur or are likely to occur, as well as for assessing significant adverse impacts on such ecosystems, **including their associated and dependent species;**

“213 (b) To ensure that **impact assessments, including for cumulative impacts of activities covered by the assessment, are conducted for all types of bottom-fishing activities consistent with the Guidelines, particularly paragraph 47 thereof, are reviewed periodically and are revised thereafter whenever a substantial change in the fishery has occurred or there is relevant new information, and that, where such impact assessments have not been undertaken, they are carried out as a priority before authorizing bottom-fishing activities;**

“213 (c) To ensure that the precautionary approach is applied, including in the utilization of impact assessments to inform management decisions and consideration of significant adverse impacts on vulnerable marine ecosystems, **including their associated and dependent species;**

“214. Recognizes that different types of marine scientific research, such as **seabed mapping, mapping of vulnerable marine ecosystems based on information from the fishing fleet, on-site camera observations from remote vehicles, benthic ecosystem modelling, comparative benthic studies and predictive modelling have resulted in the identification of areas where vulnerable marine ecosystems are known or are likely to occur** and in the adoption of conservation and management measures to prevent significant adverse impacts on such ecosystems, including the closure of areas to bottom fishing in accordance with paragraph 119 (b) of resolution 64/72;

“216. Encourages, in this regard, States, regional fisheries management organizations and arrangements with the competence to manage deep-sea fisheries, and States participating in negotiations to establish such organizations or arrangements to continue to improve the best available science, **carry out further marine scientific research to address the remaining knowledge gaps, in particular with regard to fish stock assessments to improve understanding of the connectivity of populations of deep-sea fish species** and to base and update conservation and management measures on the best available scientific information, in accordance with international law, as reflected in Part XIII of the Convention;

“218. Calls upon States, individually and through regional fisheries management organizations and arrangements, to take into account the potential impacts of climate change and ocean acidification in taking measures to manage deep-sea fisheries and protect vulnerable marine ecosystems, **including by identifying areas, based on scientific information, where deep-water species and vulnerable marine ecosystems are likely to better survive such impacts, and establishing measures to support their resilience;**

“219. Also calls upon States, individually and through regional fisheries management organizations and arrangements with the competence to regulate deep-sea fisheries, to **adopt conservation and management measures, including monitoring, control and surveillance measures, on the basis of the best available scientific information, including stock assessments, to improve the robustness of such measures, to ensure the long-term sustainability of deep-sea fish stocks and non-target species and the rebuilding of depleted stocks, consistent with the Guidelines and, where scientific information is uncertain, unreliable or inadequate, to ensure that conservation and management measures are established consistent with the precautionary approach, in particular with regard to**

vulnerable, threatened or endangered species;”

3. UN Fish Stocks Agreement resumed Review Conference (May 2023)

Report of the resumed Review Conference on the Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks (A/CONF.210/2023/6)

Annex: Outcome of the resumed Review Conference on the Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks

Recommendations:

A.13. Conservation and management measures for deep-sea fisheries

(a) Accelerate, where applicable, the establishment and **strengthen the implementation of long-term conservation and management measures for deep-sea fisheries and vulnerable marine ecosystems, including their associated and dependent species** in accordance with relevant General Assembly resolutions and the International Guidelines for the Management of Deep-Sea Fisheries in the High Seas of FAO.

4. NPFC CMM 2025-05 & CMM 2026-06

CMM 2025-05 & CMM 2026-06 establish requirements for conducting impact assessments, incorporating paragraph 47 of the FAO Guidelines as well as impacts on marine species, including the following:

Annex 2.5 “*Assessment of SAIs on VMEs or marine species*”

2.5(5) “*Each member of the Commission is to conduct assessments to establish if bottom fishing activities are likely to produce SAIs in a given seamount or other VMEs. Such an impact assessment is to address, inter alia:*

(b) Best available scientific and technical information on the current state of fishery resources, and baseline information on the ecosystems, habitats and communities in the fishing area, against which future changes are to be compared;

(c) Identification, description and mapping of VMEs known or likely to occur in the fishing area;

(d) The data and methods used to identify, describe and assess the impacts of the activity, identification of gaps in knowledge, and an evaluation of uncertainties in the information presented in the assessment;

(e) Identification, description and evaluation of the occurrence, scale and duration of likely impacts, including cumulative impacts of activities covered by the assessment on VMEs and low-productivity fishery resources in the fishing area;

(f) Risk assessment of likely impacts by the fishing operations to determine which impacts are likely to be SAIs, particularly impacts on VMEs and low-productivity fishery resources (Risk assessments are to take into account, as appropriate, differing conditions prevailing in areas where fisheries are well established and in areas where fisheries have not taken place or only occur occasionally);

(g) The proposed mitigation and management measures to be used to prevent SAIs on VMEs and ensure long-term conservation and sustainable utilization of low-productivity fishery resources, and the measures to be used to monitor effects of the fishing operations.

We would argue that the impact assessment process is inherently stepwise; without completing each stage in sequence, subsequent steps cannot be effectively carried out. In particular, without mapping or modeling the distribution of VMEs, including their associated and dependent species, the remainder of the impact assessment risks becoming a purely theoretical, or box ticking, exercise.

For Further information contact:

Matthew Gianni, Co-founder, Political and Policy Advisor, Deep Sea Conservation Coalition
email: matthewgianni@gmail.com

Lisette Victorero, Science Lead, Deep Sea Conservation Coalition
email: lisette.victorero@gmail.com

Bronwen Golder, Seamounts Campaign Lead, Deep Sea Conservation Coalition
email: bronwen@deep-sea-conservation.org